IN THE INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMENK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

					ALL LIOAT	1011
			Group Art Unit	2814		
Inventor(s):	UEMURA et al.		Examiner:	Willie, D.		
Appln. No.:	08	866,129	Atty. Dkt. PMS	238641	F97-121-US	
	Series Code ↑	Serial No. ↑		M#	Client Ref	
Filed: May	y 30, 1997		(Our Deposit Acco	ount No. 03-3	975)	Q
Title: DE\	VICES RELATED TO	ELECTRODE(AS AMENDED)			1/8	/
		TPE	(Our Order No.	31317	238641	
		O(1, 2)		C#	20 200	\mathcal{D}_{-}
Asst. Commis	ssioner of Patents /	<u>~</u>	Date:	September	20, 200	· 180x
Washington,	D.C. 20231	SEP 2 0 2000 00			⟨ ⟨``\`	MER
ŭ	\	SEL . E			<u>48</u>	CEL
Sir:	\ ₂)			2, 100	•
	``	REPLY/AMENDM	ENT/LETTER		Whi.	
					20, 2000 Signatura	

This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

•	FEE REQUI	REMENTS F	OR CL	AIMS AS AMEN	DED		
1. "Small Entity" statement(s) filed previously herewith (No.)	Claims remaining after amendment	Highest nu previously p		Present Extra	Large/Small Entity	Additional Fee	Fee Code
2. Total Effective Claims	25	**minus	25	0	x \$18/\$9 =	+ \$0	103/203
3. Independent Claims	3	***minus	3	0	x \$78/\$39 =	+ \$0	102/202
4. If amendment enters <u>proper</u> multip time (leave <u>blank</u> if this is a <u>reissue</u> a 5. Original due Date: July 11, 200	+ \$0	104/204					
6. Petition is hereby made to extendate to cover the date this response requisite fee is attached (Usable on		115/215 116/216 117/217 118/218 128/228					
7. Enter any previous extension fee							
8.	ion Fee Attached	+ \$870					
9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached,	+ \$0	148/248					
10. If IDS attached requires Official F or if Rule 97(d) Petition	+ \$240 = + \$130 =	+ \$0	126 122				
11. After-Final Request Fee per rules	+ \$690/345 =	+ \$0	146/246				
12. No. of additional inventions for ex	x \$690/345 ea =	+ \$0	149/249				
13. Petition fee for	+ \$0						
14	\$870						

15. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0"

Atty/Sec: PWG/VPH:ksh

16. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space

17. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

<u>CHARGE STATEMENT</u>: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (<u>missing or insufficiencies only</u>) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown in the heading hereof, for which purpose a <u>duplicate</u> copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group

1100 New York Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3918
Tel: (202) 861-3000

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group

By Atty: Peter W. Gowdey
Fax. (202) 822-0944

Tel: (202) 861-3078

NOTE: File this cover sheet in duplicate with PTO receipt (PAT-103A) and attachments

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

UEMURA et al

Appln. No. 08/866,129

Filed: May 30, 1997

SEP 2 0 2000 2

Group Art Unit: 2814

Examiner: D. Willie

For: DEVICES RELATED TO ELECTRODE PADS FOR P-TYPE GROUP III

NITRIDE COMPOUND SEMICONDUCTORS

September 20, 2000

REPLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED
SEP 27 2000
SEP 27 2000

In response to the final Office Action dated April 11, 2000 and the Advisory Action dated May 5, 2000, Applicants submit the following Reply.

REMARKS

As a preliminary matter, Applicants point out that the Supplemental Amendment of April 14, 2000 was filed in response to the telephone interview with Examiner Douglas on March 28, 2000. At the time the Supplemental Amendment was filed on April 14, 2000, Applicants had not yet received and were unaware of the final Office Action of April 11, 2000. Thus, the April 14, 2000 Supplemental Amendment was not intended to be a response to the April 11, 2000 final Office Action.

Applicants point out that the claims were amended in the April 14, 2000

Supplemental Amendment to reflect the constructive suggestions made by the Examiner during March 28th and May 3rd telephone interviews. Claim 1 was amended to incorporate